



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/506,325		02/18/2000	Yujin Mori	016907/1050	8856
22428	7590	01/14/2005		EXAMINER	
FOLEY A		RDNER	POON, KING Y		
SUITE 50 3000 K ST	-	w.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHIN	GTON, I	DC 20007	2624		
				DATE MAIL ED: 01/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

÷	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/506,325	MORI, YUJIN				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	King Y. Poon	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apper Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	evoid abandonment of this applicable at the same of this applicable at the same of the sam	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	If the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 15 December 2004. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) $oxed{oxed}$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been consecutive Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10 Char	ING Y. POON ARY EXAMINER	L on				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/596,325

Application No.

^cContinuation of 2. NOTE: newly added claimed limitation of "having a transverse main scan direction...to sixth reading means" found in claim 1, 5, raise new issues that would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it relies on newly added claimed limitations, not being entered, and the claimed limitations of the finally rejected claims are still meet by the prior art of record.